



# Schematic of Simplified Approach for Evaluating Phosphorus-Related Impacts of CERP Alternatives




# Phosphorus Balance Models for Everglades Applications



## Phosphorus Mass Balance Models Developed for Everglades Applications

W. W. Walker & R.H. Kadlec for U.S. Department of the Interior


Model	STADM	EPGM	DMSTA	HYBRID
Description	STA Design Model	Everglades Phos. Gradient Model	Dynamic Model for STA's	EPGM/DMSTA Hybrid
Development Dates	1993-1995	1996-1997	1999-2002	Concept
Primary Purposes	Design of Phase I Stormwater Treatment Areas	Impacts of STA Discharges on WCA's	Design of Enhanced Stormwater Treatment Areas - All EPA Basins	Same as EPGM/DMSTA + WCA Recovery + CERP Applications
Applic. to Natural Wetlands	WCA-2A	WCA's	WCA-2A; C111	Everglades
Dynamic Time Scale	Steady State	Years	Days --> Years	Days--> Years
Computational Platform	Any Spreadsheet	Lotus or Excel	Excel / Visual Basic	Excel / Visual Basic
Wetland Trajectory	Steady State	Enrichment	Enrichment	Enrichment or Recovery
Spatial Configuration	Gradient ( Plug Flow)	Gradient (Plug Flow)	1-Dim. Branched (Cells in Series, Parallel)	General 1-D Branched or Linked to Existing Hydro Models (NSM Output)
Model Coefficients	1	3	3	7
Calibration Basis	WCA-2A, Treatment Wetlands	WCA-2A	~70 Platforms: Tmt Wetlands, Test Cells, Mesocosms	EPGM/DMSTA; Updated to Include Threshold Research, EPA REMAP; ENP & USGS Research
Soil Types	Peat	Peat	Peat or Marl	Peat or Marl
Vegetation Types	Emergent --> Slough	Emergent ---> Slough	Emergent, Submergent, Periphyton	Emergent, Submergent, Periphyton
State Variables	Flow Water Col P	Flow Water Col P Soil Accretion  Soil P Cattail Density	Flow Water Col P Biomass P Any WQ Comp. with Simple Kinetic Rules	Flow Water Col P Biomass P  Soil Accretion Soil P Cattail Density Any WQ Comp. with Simple Kinetic Rules
Potential CERP Applications	Design of External P Load Controls	--->  Simulating P Impacts Downstream of Inflows	--->  Simulating P Impacts Downstream of Inflows Optimization of CERP Reservoirs for WQ Benefits	--->  --->  --->  Simulating Recovery of WCA/ENP Marshes
Relevant CERP Performance Measures		Vegetation, Periphyton, Soil Accretion	Vegetation, Periphyton	Vegetation, Periphyton, Soil Accretion
Reference: www.walker.net	/stadesign.pdf	/epgm	/dmsta	




# 27 Management Models to Evaluate Phosphorus Impacts on Wetlands

*Robert H. Kadlec and W.W. Walker*

27.1	Abstract.....	621
27.2	Introduction.....	622
27.3	Surface Water Removal: One Parameter.....	624
27.3.1	Model Development.....	624
27.3.2	Calibration.....	628
27.4	Surface Water Removal Model: The Biomachine Model.....	628
27.4.1	Model Development.....	628
27.4.2	Calibration.....	629
27.5	Benthic and Root Zone Processes.....	630
27.6	Integration of Phosphorus Loads.....	632
27.6.1	Model Development.....	632
27.6.2	Calibration.....	634
27.7	Transition Triggers.....	636
27.8	Results and Discussion.....	638
27.9	Conclusions.....	639






**FIGURE 27.1** New phosphorus inputs tend to create zonation in the vicinity of the addition.







**FIGURE 27.2** Gradients in surface water P lead to enhanced biomass, a larger biogeochemical cycle, and larger accretion rates for recalcitrant residuals. Arrows indicate the movement of phosphorus.

# EPGM Calibration - Soil P / Water P Linkages Derived from WCA-2A Data



Accretion Rates & P Contents in Soils above the Cs-127 Peak Corresponding to the 1963-1991 time period





## WCA-2A Soil Properties Predicted from External P Load



# EPGM Simulation of STA Discharges through Hydropattern Restoration Facilities

Marsh Impact Areas vs. Total P Load =  $Q \times C$

Marsh Impact Areas vs. Adjusted P Load =  $Q \times (C - 10)$



Conclusion ?

Adjusted Load is a better surrogate for marsh impact areas, as compared with Total Load



## Alternative Predictors of P Enrichment Impacts Downstream EPA Inflow Points

For Discharges Directly to Marsh (vs. Canal)

Using Water-Column & Soil P Criteria

### Predictors Ranked in Order of Increasing Complexity

- 1 Total P Load =  $Q C$  existing CERP performance measure
- 2 Adjusted P Load =  $Q \times (C - 10)$  modified PM, more directly related to impact at steady-state
- 3 EPGM Steady State " " + accounts for hydroperiod, distribution width, geometry
- 4 EPGM Dynamic (Soil P vs. Year) " " + accounts for regional variations in existing soil properties
- 5 DMSTA Dynamic (Daily) " " + accounts for vegetation types & short-term load pulses
- 6 DMSTA/EPGM Hybrid (does not exist) " " + accounts for soil p reflux from previously impacted areas, etc.

etc...